

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/392,254	09/09/1999	YASUO YAMANAKA	0557-4758-3	9859
22850	7590 04/09/2003			
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER	
	1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		PAULRAJ, CHRISTOPHER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1773	, 7
			DATE MAILED: 04/09/2003	/ /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		29				
. •	Application N .	Applicant(s)				
	09/392,254	YAMANAKA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christopher G. Paulraj	1773				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears n the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 J	anuary 2003 .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-24</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
<u> </u>	6) Claim(s) <u>17-24</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	a alaatian wax iiramant					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	a beve been seed a					
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/392,254

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed on January 14, 2003 has been entered. Claims 17-24 are pending. Applicant's remarks with respect to claims 17-24 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claims 17-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toshihiro et al. (JP 06-304973) in view of Hirofumi et al. (JP 06-315961).

Toshihiro et al. is described by Kanematsu et al. (U.S. Patent 6,287,504) as a prior art molding. The Examiner will rely upon Kanematsu et al.'s description of the moldings of Toshihiro et al. to make the pending rejection (see Kanematsu et al., col. 6, line 30 to col. 7, line 45; Figs. 3A-4B).

Toshihiro et al. teaches molded articles having first and second optical transfer surfaces and at least one imperfect transfer portion formed as to have a contour disposed apart from the edge of the transfer surface (see Kanematsu et al., Fig. 3B; 4A). Hirofumi et al. discloses an injection molded part with multiple sink marks that correspond to the imperfect transfer portions (see Drawings 2, 3). One skilled in the art would have found it obvious to included a plurality of imperfect transfer portions in the molded articles of Toshihiro et al. The motivation for doing so would have been to optimize and control the sinking and deformation of the final product.

Art Unit: 1773

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher G. Paulraj whose telephone number is (703) 308-1036. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Thibodeau can be reached on (703) 308-2367. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-0661.

MX

cgp

April 7, 2003

Paul Thibodeau Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700

The Hulden

Page 3